

7-12-12

V.A. Kupriak

NO ONE (except the - really, to Emma?)

What will he say?

maybe BBanti is right, about  
his being lost!

Leel Strison, Byers, hst

"Don't do it," vic. Waller

Who said (note): Officer Enders, was of SU-SO AS NOT TO USE BOMBS?

They weren't against it, were

(unless Bond. Made? - when or previously?)

Which one didn't / would have said that?

And if Frank & Szilard couldn't persuade  
Oppie, Comant, Lawrence (Ellen, Abigail...)

(Completion? (which means of  $H_1$  and  $SV$  are same))

How could he persuade hist, Byers, Strain?

Alps: ~~to~~ Use and and have & reference  
in NATO and US Agreement  
was passed from court of city bench  
in Feb 42 or Mar 43.

(Was this patrol? Party was.)

(His drive for USAF, since 1920's. & particularly...

(united Kingdom of US & West Germany, + UK)

to PK:

See Marking — PDS.

May 1993: paper on the Target for A-bomb,  
not using (even if Bomb really depresses  
human numbers);

That's under Strasser — and FDR (+ Marshall,  
never opposed use of for using Bomb)  
Bomb

h: + never heard one person give an  
argument for not using Bomb

Did that have any effect to have suggest  
not using Bomb?

Bomb C? Ask C? to Strasser  
first, give warning; offer... only one be heard?

|| Did anyone say: I don't use it unless you...  
(Byers was against offer; among all us. was,  
warning...

Have many but avoiding Bomb as the reason  
for was or offer or warning of was?

Have many have said so?

Did anyone tell but or Strasser: don't use it?

(or give either, the French reasons?)

|| What reason was there to oppose it — while  
supporting continued large fire-raids?



What difference was there, from 300-1000-year  
fire-rains?

except for the French-Syrian reasons?  
(~~reason~~ SO, now, H, ~~reason~~...)

which neither bst nor Strider (?) heard  
(bst. not from Strider!)

(Strider, or Barle

3) didn't act as if he didn't know  
of fire-rains, as he indicated to Arnold;  
he didn't give the reasons he gave Arnold  
for not worrying to attack cities; (as bst  
said - his doing - did bst really believe he  
was attacking "a mt. target"? What  
surprised him about H + N ("as 3rd barle - all these  
kinds

What did he know -

that he didn't know before?

U3 Reaction to Henry story: they'd never heard  
an account of Drunder, Norbury, Tokyo, 67 other  
cities - which would have generated identical  
stories (as diff as the ground from one hole  
or thousands!) It was a daylight fire-rain,  
at start (Norbury: 3 days. Drunder: 2 days + night)  
No air-rail + night warning. So what?

A firestorm is a firestorm, whether or not  
(see Tokyo, Drunder...)



Aug 2, 12 4:30

non-polo  
w/ Rogers & Marshall (+ Acheson,  
opposed to Marshall?)  
OK - this is FDR.

if he had not  
summed up  
- on terms

There is no reason to think FDR  
would not have ~~done~~ used Baro as before.

(Yes, he might have ended even  
sooner, with offer. But would he have  
departed from USS? (Yes demand?)

He might have warned of SU entry.

(Would he?)

No indication he (or Churchill) was ready  
to share Baro with SU! (He had plenty of  
time to do it.)

Rejected Baro proposal.

(he should - would US have had greater luck  
with him? No reason to think so.)

& Baro was not (just) for detaining Henry,  
with FDR (or Stimson, Cox, Bard; Marshall).

Leading circumstances doesn't make sense -  
it's not as if we had not been previously  
discussing Tokyo, etc. A-bomb did not introduce  
that

There was no high-level discussion of whether  
to use Baro, nor a (any) "reason" to do  
it (or not do it.) That was an issue for  
the lower functioning - <sup>a "debate" or comparison</sup> which did at some time, either.  
And if that was to continue in any case - what  
issue was that? EXCEPT (big) for



2 Aug 12

Excerpt for

Soviet Bank (which had direct export, as  
of 1946? 43? ("New" to office)

(as proof - among US embassy -  
(the only reason to distinguish A. bank from five rails +

(Was there any alt coming to be?)

NO: Human - Japan: occupied until

Safe allies. UK, France, alt allies: NO.

China? not as of 1945... (any exportation

of a Comm China in 1945? 1946?

(Was about a Comm Bank, in 1948-48?

1949-50? (SU bank).

(Any reports about Heinrich, as CW  
emerged? after 1949-50, SU Bank? H? ....)

(Any reports about CW (Berlin 1948, division)  
as CW found anatomy? (SU Bank) on  
Nikolai + CW?)

\* which would also threaten US!

(as the Bank Korea / UK - threatened Prague,  
Rutland - Warsaw (not, fair?)

and Shanghai, Chongqing... (Korea in China?)  
1932...)

(see French study of Korea, 1946: 6000?!)

Major Hong: but  
US figures

(China in GPO ed.)

Google online  
search

2 Aug 12 Sunday

[Nomi: Q.M.]

US attitude to H&N — a myth  
(not merely self-justification but  
highly dangerous, in view of USG  
containing war policy)

"Nomi ended war — ~~more~~  
months earlier — arrested on extreme  
center: nervous — ~~small~~ American  
& Japanese lines"

As if Japanese reported the  
Nanking Massacre as having been the  
war in China — or at least,  
small (or) pop. lines.

—? Did it



2 Aug 12

He was  
right:

But he was  
a problem solver,  
like RAND, JCS

Did Yamamoto oppose attack on  
US bases in Phils (as well as P.H.)?

Wd did Japanese take Phils?

If not, ~~but~~ would ROR have  
done, to get US into war?

No declaration of war from Hith!  
(ROR spoke of wanting Japanese to  
strike first blow? Essential?)

Would we have defended UK, France,  
Dutch colonies? (We did not, China).

("Rational man - a problem-solver  
Scientist puzzle-solver  
as "rational decision-maker" =  
choosing hypotheses & subj better  
"understanding" (+ explaining)  
↳ "maximizing"

SU BW - generalists

Friction: ex-fine business men

EC - strategic economy

MP - applied science, engineering  
(not pure physics)

8-2-12

Did y of 65t ~~radio~~ television presence  
radioation sickness?

or genetic damage? (Not much fallout?  
(How much?) (and bursts)

Only diff. from prebarring (except above):  
obvious to BS & world public:  
(willfully blind bombing) city target.  
Controversial?

(Well, some opposition: Mormon, Cath...  
but how much but th opposed  
bombing city bombing.

Yes, US cities became vulnerable:  
but when?

(To suitcase bombs, cargo containers,  
ships — but when? from who?)

How much controversy to seeing SU as  
an enemy, an adversary?

(Am ally? despite Stalin's rejection  
(invasion helped!)

whereas Germany, Japan...

(Now "us")

see Berlin, 1948!  
(after winter of 45-46!)  
merciless



8-2-12

USAF was against using Bomb —  
it had prospects of a 60-group USAF!

How did that plan survive HAN?  
esp. before SU was target?  
(1945-47?)

USN opposed: it was USAF, not USN,  
in the war.

(Any not opposed — Marshall.  
(Who was C of S Any?)

But no one opposed continued bombing:  
with or without offer of coming to  
Japan.